Dinuclear rhodium(I) complexes for the selective hydroformylation of alkenes using either CO/H_2 or CO/H_2O

Philippe KALCK

Laboratoire de Catalyse et de Chimie Fine, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, 31077 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

Abstract - The dinuclear rhodium(I) $Rh_2(\mu-SR)_2(CO)_2L_2$ complexes have been designed for the low pressure hydroformylation of various alkenes. The reaction is fully selective in aldehydes and turnover frequencies as high as 1 s^{-1} can be reached. A catalytic cycle in which all the intermediates remain dinuclear is consistent with the observed data and involves a cooperative effect between the two metal centres. The use of the water-soluble tris(m-sulphophenyl)phosphine ligand led to 96% of selectivity in linear aldehyde and solved very easily the problem of catalyst recovery by decantation ; in this case the CO/H₂O and CO/H₂ couples can be undistinguishably used as feedstock.

INTRODUCTION

During the past decade a great effort has been made to solve the problem of chemoselectivity and regioelectivity in homogeneous catalysis, particularly in hydroformylation (ref. 1). The HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃ complex 1 (ref. 2) was shown to be very interesting for propene since the hydrogenation in propane was limited to 5% and a good butanal to methyl-2 propanal ratio of 92/8 was obtained provided a large excess of triphenylphosphine should be introduced. We observed that for heavy alkenes as well as allyl- or propenyl-benzenes issued from the biomass this complex is not particularly well adapted due to extensive isomerization of the reactive terminal carbon-carbon double bond (ref. 3). Hydrogenation cannot be avoided and deactivation is due to the formation of the species $Rh_4(\mu-PPh_2)_4(CO)_5(PPh_3)$, (ref. 4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We decided to design novel catalyst precursors in order to improve the mononuclear complex 1 and we explored various dirhodium complexes bridged by two thiolatio ligands with the assumption that a cooperative effect between the two metal centres could induce high selectivities and reactivities. The complexes Rh₂(μ -SR)₂(CO)₂[P(OR')₃]₂ were shown to be very active for the low pressure hydroformylation of 1-hexene (5 bar, 80°C) particularly when R = t-Bu and R' = Me or Ph (complexes 2 and 3), since a turn over frequency of 32 min⁻¹ was observed for 2 (ref. 5). This catalytic system is characterized by a full selectivity in aldehyde and no isomerization of the terminal alkene, by a modest selectivity in linear aldehyde (n/i = 88/12 in the optimum conditions) and by the recovery after catalysis of the dinuclear species 2 or 3 which can convert again a new charge of 1-hexene without loss of activity (ref. 5 and 6). The complex Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂, 4, needs, to be very active, a slight excess of triphenylphosphine in order to avoid the formation of the inactive species Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₄ 5 in the hydroformylation reaction and to shift the equilibrium 5 + x PPh₃ = 4 + (x-2)PPh₃ towards the right side. For instance, at 8 bar with a P/Rh ratio of 11, a turnover rate in the initial conditions of 53 min⁻¹ was reached (n/n+i = 78%) whereas when P/Rh = 26, T.O.R. = 32 min⁻¹ and n/n+i = 88%. Moreover in polar solvents higher rates can even be obtained : C₂H₄Cl₂, P/Rh = 10, T.O.R. = 65 min⁻¹.

In addition, we discovered that complex 4 is selectively and irreversibly formed starting from 1 by addition of one equivalent of thiol. We checked by IR and 31 P NMR spectroscopies that the following equation is obeyed :

2 HRh (CO) (PPh₃)₃ + 2 t-BuSH
$$\rightarrow$$
 Rh₂ (µ-S-t-Bu)₂ (CO)₂ (PPh₃)₂ + 4 PPh₃ + 2H₂

This reaction is slow under the ambient conditions or in the hydroformylation conditions and the kinetic curves show that the catalytic system starts from 1 with a moderate rate to reach 4 with higher rates; after catalysis the dinuclear species 4 is only found in the reaction mixture.

Numerous IR, ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopic investigations were carried out on complexes 2 and 3 + H₂ or H₂/CO and in the hydroformylation conditions. We never detected any intermediate species and we only observed the presence of the starting rhodium complexes and the growing of the aldehydes. The model complex Ir₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₂[P(OMe)₃]₂ 5 charged in a NMR tube with 1-hexene and 2.5 bar of syn-gas was slowly transformed into two species 6 and 7. Complex 6 is characterized by one ³¹P signal (δ = 96.4 ppm) and two hydrido signals (δ H₁ = -7.17 dd, δ H₂ = -15.07 dd, JH₁H₂ = 3.6,

P. KALCK

 $J_{PH1} = 17.1$, $J_{PH2} = 19.6$ Hz); these two JpH coupling constants indicate (ref. 7) that the hydrido ligands are *cis* with respect to the phosphorus atom. Moreover these data are similar to those published for the complex [COD Ir(μ -bis(diphenylphosphino-pyrazolato)) Ir H₂ COD]⁺, except that one hydrido is *trans* to one phosphorus ligand (ref. 8). The spectroscopic data for 6 are consistent either with (CO)₂ Ir(μ -S-t-Bu)₂ Ir (CO)H₂[P(OMe)₃] or with a mononuclear formula Ir H₂(CO)₂(S-t-Bu)[P(OMe)₃] bearing a terminal thiolato ligand. Concerning species 7, an AB system in ³¹P and a doublet in ¹H NMR at high field were clearly detected at 173K : $\delta_{P_1} = 114.6$ ppm, $\delta_{P_2} = 119.7$, $\delta_{H} = -12.9$, $J_{P_1P_2} = 37.3$, $J_{P_1H} = 136$, $J_{P_2H} = 23$. Such values are consistent with a hydrido ligand *cis* to P₂ and *trans* to P₁ and not with the hypothetical H Ir (CO)₂L₂ previously published (ref. 9) and characterized by a triplet ($\delta_{H} = -12.16$ ppm, $J_{PH} = 20$ Hz, from ref. 9) ; a dinuclear P Ir H Ir P framework can be retained.

From the observation of the changes on the reactivity induced by varying the alkyl or aryl group of the thiolato ligand or the phosphorus ligand, on the basis of Extended Hückel calculations (ref. 6) and more recently *ab initio* calculations (ref. 10) on several intermediate species, we can propose a catalytic cycle in which the dinuclear core is maintained. All the steps have been presented elsewhere (ref. 6). The rate determining step is certainly that related to the activation of dihydrogen :

$$Rh_2(\mu-SR)_2(CO)_2L_2 + H_2$$
 $H_2(CO)L Rh(\mu-SR)_2 Rh(CO)L$

Three concepts give account of the peculiar reactivity presented by our dinuclear complexes : (i) the flexibility around the bridging ligands allows the transfer of an atom or a ligand from one metal centre to the other one, (ii) the σ donation of the various ligands or parts of the molecule on one metal centre, (iii) the back donation on the whole complex. These last two concepts are related to the electron density on one rhodium atom modulated by the ligands at each step (oxidative addition, coordination of the alkene, hydride transfer, CO insertion, reductive elimination). In our opinion these concepts account for the cooperative effect which takes place between the two rhodium centres and which is certainly the driving force of the selectivity and of the rate we observed for the low pressure hydroformylation reaction.

Various investigations were carried out to extent this catalytic system by varying the bridges or by introducing diphosphine ligands, some of them containing transition metals.

Preparation of Rh₂(μ -X)₂(CO)₂L₂ complexes bridged by azolate ligands led to active precursors similar to complexes 2-4 for the hydroformylation of alkenes but with lower rates (ref. 11, 12). Particularly relevant was however the synthesis of the mixt complex COD Rh (μ -S-t-Bu)(μ -pz) Rh COD by reaction of COD Rh Cl (pyrazole) with COD Rh (acac) and then substitution of the Cl bridge by the S-t-Bu ligand (ref. 13). Carbonylation followed by addition of two aliquots of P(OMe)₃, **8**, P(OPh)₃ or PPh₃ led to the formation of the Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)(μ -pz)(CO)₂L₂ complexes. These species can be slowly and irreversibly formed by mixing the homobridged complexes. An X-ray crystal structure of **8** revealed a bent geometry, a square planar environment for each rhodium and a *cis* arrangement, the two phosphorus atoms are *trans* to the bridging sulphur atom. The catalytic activity is only slightly lower than that shown by Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₂[P(OMe)₃]₂; thus, presumably the P-Rh-S-Rh-P framework is responsible for the high level of catalytic activity presented by this family of complexes (ref. 13).

Whereas diphosphine ligands with a too short distance between the two phosphorus atoms, when they are reacted with $Rh_2(\mu$ -S-t-Bu)_2(CO)_4, give rise to a complex mixture of rather inactive mono- and di-nuclear species, the bis (diphenylphosphino)propane, dppp, and bis (diphenylphosphino)butane, dppb, ligands led to the complexes $Rh_2(\mu$ -S-t-Bu)_2(CO)_2L_2 9 and 10 (ref. 14, 15). Similarly the ligands 1,1'-bis (diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, dppf, and 1,1'-bis (diphenylphosphino)nuthenocene, dppr, allowed to prepare the same type of complexes 11 and 12 (ref. 16). By IR, ¹H, ³P NMR spectroscopies (ref. 15) and for 10 and 11 by X-ray structures (ref. 17), the complexes were shown to present a bent geometry with roughly a square planar environment for the rhodium atom; the two CO ligands are in a *cis* arrangement and the diphosphine ligand adopts a symmetrical bridging situation. The rhodium-rhodium distances are respectively 3.039(1) A in 10 and 3.089(1) in 11 (ref. 17). All these complexes are active precursors in hydroformylation, although they present a lower level of activity than complexes 2-4. Complex 10 shows a long period of induction (turnover frequency of 0.2 min⁻¹), 9 is slightly faster (2.3 min⁻¹), whereas 11, 12 and dppp + Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₄ are characterized by turnover frequencies of 7.7, 8.1 and 7.1 min⁻¹ respectively (ref. 17). The selectivity in aldehydes is quite good; however these catalytic systems give deceiving selectivities in linear compound : usually 70% except 83% for 11. Presumably the hydridic character of the hydride ligand is less pronounced than that in 2, 3 and 4 and could be due to the presence of a CO ligand in the trans-position instead of a phosphorus ligand (ref. 6).

The X-ray structure of the ferrocenyldiphosphine complex (Fig. 1) shows very clearly that the P2 phosphorus atom deviates from the square plane around the Rh2 atom so that the two phosphorus atoms are not symmetrical. As shown on Fig. 2, the ³¹P NMR spectra recorded at low temperature (240K) reveal (ref. 17) that the structure of **11** in solution is the same as in the solid state since two inequivalent phosphorus doublets are detected and split into doublets by coupling with the more distant rhodium (or phosphorus) atom. When the temperature is raised the two Rh-P situations are interchanged in the molecule by rotation of the dppf ligand and at 312K only one doublet is observed. For such a situation a bridge splitting mechanism could be proposed, whereas for larger diphosphine ligands (dppb for instance) a competitive CO/alkene coordination mechanism could give account of the observed reactivities. Here also a catalytic cycle in which all the intermuediate species remain dinuclear can be proposed (ref. 17).



For organic synthesis on a large scale and particularly from an industrial point of view, it is crucial to separate very easily and to recover the catalyst. With the light alkenes, the aldehydes are distilled off without any significant loss of activity for the rhodium complex (ref. 1). However, starting from terpenes or allyl-benzenes (estragole, safrol, eugenol...) we observed that distillation induced extensive decomposition of the complexes. We investigated the immobilization of the rhodium complexes onto an insoluble support ant instead of using phosphine ligands (ref. 18, 19), we grafted them through the thiolato brid ges(ref. 20). Starting from $Rh_2Cl_2(CO)_4$ and support-SH, then by addition of two aliquots of phosphine or phosphite, we prepared the equivalents of complexes 2 or 4, i.e. $Rh_2(\mu-S-support)_2(CO)_2L_2$. However these precursors gave poor results in catalysis and a significant loss of rhodium in solution.

In collaboration with Rhône-Poulenc, we preferred to use the water-soluble ligand tris(m-sulphophenyl)phosphine (ref. 21), or Lw, to hold the rhodium complex in the aqueous phase and to recovery it by decanting. The use of this ligand in hydroformylation was shown by Rhône-Poulenc, starting from various rhodium salts (ref. 22, 23). We prepared $Rh_2(\mu-S-t-Bu)_2(CO)_2(Lw)_2$, 13, and compared its performances with those of HRh(CO)(Lw)₃, 14, for the hydroformylation of 1-hexene at 5 bar and 80°C (ref. 24). In 65 h, 72% of the alkene were transformed with 13 and 50% with 14; in both cases the selectivity in aldehyde was 98-99%. As previously observed for the Rh-Lw systems (ref. 22, 23) and by Wilkinson and coworkers for the HRh(CO)(L₁)₃ complex containing the monosulphonated triphenylphosphine ligand (ref. 25), the selectivity in linear aldehyde was 93% for 14, 88% for 15. Adding a slight excess of Lw to 13 (P/Rh = 6) improved this selectivity to 96% (ref. 25). After separation of the organic phase, the aqueous layer can be recycled without loss of catalytic activity nor loss of rhodium (< 0.5 ppm). These performances are quite general (ref. 24, 26) and for the hydroformylation of propene at 50 bar of total pressure and 120°C, we observed a complete conversion into butanal and methyl-2 propanal in 1 hour ; with a large excess of ligand, P/Rh = 50 or 100, the selectivity in butanal reached 96%. For a P/Rh ratio of 50 a turnover frequency of 28 min⁻¹ was calculated whereas, starting from Rh₂Cl₂(COD)₂ in the same conditions to generate HRh(CO)(Lw)₃, the turnover frequency was only 5 min⁻¹ (ref. 24).

Moreover, during the past decade the Water-Gas-Shift reaction (WGSR) has been largely studied in order to catalyze it at low temperature by transition metal complexes (ref. 27), the production of hydrogen being in these conditions favoured :

CO + H₂O CO₂ + H₂
$$K_{400} = 1.5 \ 10^3$$
; $K_{673} = 11.7$

In addition, with the late transition metals, the hydride intermediates can be used to catalyze various reactions. The applications of the WGSR to produce fine chemicals was recently reviewed (ref. 28).

We examined the possibility to carbonylate directly the alkenes with the CO/H₂O couple. Disappointing results were obtained with HRh(CO)(PPH₃)₃ and Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂, which catalyzed very slowly in ethoxyethanol the hydroformylation of 1-hexene, provided triethylamine should be added as cocatalyst : 8% of aldehyde in 18 h, P = 8 bar, t = 80°C (ref. 29). Extensive isomerization occured and the two complexes were transformed into the inactive species PhRh(CO)(PPh₃)₂ and Rh₂(CO)₄(PPh₃)₄ (ref. 30).

рН	H ₂ produced (%)		conversion into aldehydes (%)		Turnover fre- quency (h ⁻)		n/n+i (%)	
	13	15	13	15	13	15	13	15
6	19	12	53	20	28	5.3	94.8	93.8
4.8	21	nd	75	35	40	9.3	95.8	95.8
3.7	2	1	40	60	21	16	95.5	95.

Table 1. Hydroformylation of 1-hexene in neutral and acidic media catalyzed by $Rh_2(\mu$ -S-t-Bu)_2(CO)_2(Lw)_213 and $[Rh(COD)(Lw)_2]^+$ 15

Experimental conditions : Rh 10^{-4} g.at, P/Rh = 6, 1-hexene 40 mmol, H₂O 20cm³ acetic or formic buffer 5 cm³, T = 80°C, P_{CO} = 8 bar, t = 15 h.

However, with the Lw containing rhodium complexes, we obtained very interesting results since under mild conditions the alkenes were transformed into the corresponding aldehydes with only 2% of hydrogenation, but with selectivitites into linear aldehyde of 95-96% (ref. 29) :

$$RCH = CH_2 + 2CO + H_2O$$
 $RCH_2CH_2CHO + CO_2$

HRh(CO)(Lw)3, 14, and Rh₂(μ -S-t-Bu)₂(CO)₂(Lw)₂, 13, are good catalyst precursors in basic media, 13 being two times as fast as 14, but this study was restricted to neutral or acidic conditions since we observed the fast oxidation, presumably catalyzed by the Rh/OH⁻ systems, of the Lw ligand into the oxide OLw as well as the formation of heavy products.

In neutral conditions, at 80° C and 8 bar, the selectivity in aldehydes was 98%; 14 gave a turnover frequency of 6.6 min⁻¹ and a selectivity in heptanal of 90%, whereas the dinuclear complex 13 gave 28 min⁻¹ and 94.8%.

The WGSR can also be accomplished in acidic media (ref. 27, 28); Kaspar et al. have shown that the cationic species $[Rh(COD)(L_1)_2]^+$, which contains the monosulphonated triphenylphosphine ligand, was an active precursor (ref. 31). Thus we prepared the complex $[Rh(COD)(Lw)_2]^+$, 15. Various pH values were examined. Table 1 lists some results of interest.

For the mononuclear complex 15, the reaction rates were improved by decreasing the pH since with a formic buffer (pH = 3.7) the conversion rate was three times as fast as at pH 6. The most interesting results come from the activity of 13 at pH 4.8; indeed a turnover frequency of 40 h⁻¹ was obtained instead of 28 h⁻¹ at pH 6. The selectivities in linear aldehyde remained quite constant at 95%. The gaseous phase analyzed after the reaction contained more or less hydrogen. In order to have a quantitative measurement of the hydrogen produced and also to understand how the WGSR and the hydroformylation reaction are connected, we have carried out the WGSR alone and calculated the overall amounts of hydrogen coming from water in the two cases. The results are reported in Table 2.

рН	1-hexene (mmol)		H ₂ (mmol)		conversion into aldehydes (%)		H ₂ total (mmol)	
6	40	0	4.8	6.3	53	0	25	6.3
4.8	40	0	5.3	7.5	75	0	35	7.5

Table 2. Overall H2 produced by the WGSR with or without 1-hexene catalyzedby $Rh_2(\mu$ -S-t-Bu)_2(CO)_2(Lw)_2; conditions as in Table 1.

The last column of Table 2 shows that the WGSR alone produced \equiv 7 mmol of hydrogen, whereas the WGS + hydroformylation reactions required the transformation of 25 to 35 mmol of water. Thus the presence of an alkene increases significantly the production of hydrogen from water. The Water-Gas-Shift seems to be slower than the hydroformylation which utilizes, presumably directly, the hydride species generated by the first reaction.

In conclusion, the dinuclear complexes $Rh_2(\mu-SR)_2(CO)_2L_2$ appear to be very active precursors for the selective hydroformylation of alkenes under mild conditions. The use of the water-soluble tris(m-sulphophenyl)phosphine ligand is a powerful method to produce selectively the linear aldehyde and to recover the rhodium catalyst.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to my coworkers who have contributed to this study: A. Thorez, P. Escaffre, J. M. Frances, P. M. Pfister, T. G. Southern, C. Claver, M. Ridmy, C. Randrianalimanana, F. Serein-Spirau. I thank also my colleagues for fruitful collaborations : Prof. L. A. Oro (Saragossa), Prof. H. tom Dieck (Hamburg) and Dr. A. Dedieu (Strasbourg). Financial supports are due to the "Délégation Générale à la Recherche Scientifique et Technique", CNRS (GRECO "Oxydes de Carbo-ne"), Atochem, Rhône-Poulenc Recherche and Rhône-Poulenc Chimie de Base. We are indebted to the "Comptoir Lyon-Alemand-Louyot" for a generous gift of rhodium salt.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Cornils, in New Syntheses with Carbon Monoxide, J. Falbe Ed., pp 1-181, Springer, Ber lin (1980).
- J.A. Osborn, G. Wilkinson and J.F. Young, <u>J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.</u>, 17 (1965). J.M. Frances, A. Thorez and Ph. Kalck, <u>Nouv. J. Chim.</u>, **8**, 213 (1984). 2.
- 3.
- J.D. Jamerson, R.L. Pruett, E. Billig and F.A. Fiato, J. Organomet. Chem., 193, C43 4. (1980)
- 5. Ph. Kalck, J.M. Frances, P.M. Pfister, T.G. Southern and A. Thorez, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm., 510 (1983).
- A. Dedieu, P. Escaffre, J.M. Frances, Ph. Kalck and A. Thorez, Nouv. J. Chim., 10, 631 6. (1986).
- 7.
- H.D. Kaesz and R.B. Saillant, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, 231 (1972). T.G. Schenck, C.R.C. Milne, J.F. Sawyer and B. Bosnich, <u>Inorg. Chem.</u>, 24, 2338 (1985). 8.
- M. Angoletta, L. Malatesta and G. Caglio, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2131 (1977). Q

- A. Dedieu, work in progress, personnal communication.
 Ph. Kalck, A. Thorez, M.T. Pinillos and L.A. Oro, J. Mol. Cat., 31, 311 (1985).
 C. Claver, Ph. Kalck, L.A Oro, M.T. Pinillos and C. Tejel, J. Mol. Cat., 43, 1 (1987).
 C. Claver, Ph. Kalck, M. Ridmy, A. Thorez, L.A. Oro, M.T. Pinillos, M.C. Apreda, F.H. Cano and C. Foces-Foces, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., in press. 14. F. Senocq, C. Randrianalimanana, A. Thorez, Ph. Kalck, R. Choukroun and D. Gervais, J.
- <u>Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm.</u>, 1376 (1984). 15. F. Senocq, C. Randrianalimanana, A. Thorez, Ph. Kalck, R. Choukroun and D. Gervais,
- I. Mol. Cat., 35, 213 (1986).
- 16. Ph. Kalck, M. Ridmy and A. Thorez, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. II. 305, 953 (1987).
- 17. Ph. Kalck, C. Randrianalimanana, M. Ridmy, A. Thorez, H. tom Dieck and J. Ehlers, New Chem., in press.
- 18. C.U. Pittman Jr. and A. Hirao, J. Org. Chem., 43, 640 (1978).
- 19. M.O. Farell, C.H. van Dyke, L.J. Boucher and S. Metlin, J. Organomet. Chem., 169, 199 (1979)
- 20. Ph. Kalck, R. Poilblanc, A. Gaset, A. Rovera and R.P. Martin, Tetrahedron lett., 21 459 (1980).
- Rhône-Poulenc Chimie de Base (to E.G. Kuntz) Fr. 75 19407 (29.06.1975).
 Rhône-Poulenc Chimie de Base (to E.G. Kuntz) Fr. 76 12672 (29.04.1976).
 Rhône-Poulenc Industries (to J. Jenck) Fr. 80 05488 (12.03.1980).

- 24. Ph. Kalck, P. Escaffre, F. Serein-Spirau, A. Thorez, B. Besson, Y. Colleuille and R. Perron, New J. Chem., in press. 25. A.F. Borowski, D.J. Cole-Hamilton and G. Wilkinson, <u>Nouv. J. Chim.</u>, 2, 137 (1978). 26. Rhône-Poulenc Chimie de Base (to B. Besson, Ph. Kalck and A. Thorez) EP 854 201 169-6
- (16.10.1984).
- 27. R.P.A. Sneeden, in Comprehensive Organomet. Chem., G. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel Eds., 8, 225 (1982), Pergamon Press.
- P. Escaffre, A. Thorez and Ph. Kalck, <u>J.Mol. Cat.</u>, 33, 87 (1985).
 P. Escaffre, A. Thorez and Ph. Kalck, <u>New J. Chem.</u>, 11,601 (1987).
- 30. A.S. Chan, H.S. Shieh and J.R. Hill, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm., 688 (1983).