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INTRODUCTION

In the period between January 1964 and June 1965, I was most fortunate in having been engaged in a collaborative effort with a number of highly capable people in bringing forth an edited work entitled Analgetics. This work had as its goal to bring together between two covers the pertinent knowledge on the chemistry, pharmacology and clinical aspects of analgetics up to early 1965. Therefore, it is the purpose of these introductory comments to consider that the information on analgetics accrued up to 1965 to be essentially documented in the monograph just mentioned. In so doing our discourse will concern itself principally with the new body of knowledge which has become evident within the past three years; however, it is obvious that the knowledge of the past must form a necessary backdrop for the enfolding events of the present and future. To this end some basic concepts and definitions are in order.

All animal species are capable of experiencing pain although the degree to which individuals react to this phenomenon is relative. This then refers to what is generally known as the pain threshold. It is that minimum level at which an animal, man or otherwise, responds to a painful stimulus. Obviously, the psychic influence to such a reaction is most important. Whether or not a lower animal realizes the full significance of exogenous induced pain and is able to associate this with environmental factors and at the same time relate this stimulus with pain resulting from a pathological disorder is a philosophical point. However, man does know the difference and is able to relate and distinguish them both to their immediate effect on his well-being and also as in the case of pathological pain, to his ultimate demise. Thus, the psychological effect on man experiencing pain is conditioned by the intrinsic nature of this stimulus. No doubt the impact of the stimulus on his mental and physical well-being is not without profound effects. These fine distinctions cannot be made, as far as we know, by the lower animals. It is for this reason that the laboratory study of pain and the alleviation of pain by means of drugs in experimental animals has proceeded so slowly. In fact, all we can note with animals is a response to an outside stimulus which we define as a reaction to pain. From these experiments we then seek to obtain an analgetic agent which is defined as a drug which depresses pain without loss of consciousness. Dr. Winter (the preceding lecture) has already referred to the various laboratory methods employed for detecting analgetic compounds in animals but none of these can truly be considered adequate. It is probably for this reason that the literature abounds with references to new analgetics. In a recent
survey of Chemical Abstracts it was noted that more references were made within the past three years to new analgetic agents than to any other single area of medicinal agents. And yet, this area is the one in which the number of new drugs has not been forthcoming commensurate with the intellectual and experimental inputs. Nevertheless, the search still continues and hopefully towards what Pfeiffer has termed the *ideal analgetic*. It should be effective against all types of pain, but not alter other sense receptors; it should have a large therapeutic margin of safety, a rapid onset and a long duration of action. It should not depress the cardiovascular and respiratory systems; should not affect the gastrointestinal tract; should be effective orally and parenterally; should not act as an antidiuretic; should be inexpensive to manufacture and be chemically stable; and above all, should not lose its effectiveness through the development of tolerance which in turn may lead to habit formation or addiction. The development of a drug with all of these properties is truly a monumental task but is not necessarily unattainable. On the contrary, the research in many laboratories throughout the world is directed towards this end. It is the chemical aspect of this research which I will cover in this lecture.

**MORPHINE AND MORPHINAN DERIVATIVES**

In order to gain historical perspective in this subject, it is necessary to relate the comment of Thomas Sydenham, the 17th century pioneer of English Medicine: ‘Among the remedies which it has pleased Almighty God to give to man to relieve his sufferings, none is so universal and so efficacious as opium.’

Indeed opium is efficacious in relieving pain although Sydenham and several generations of practitioners following him did not recognize the chemical principle responsible for this effect. It was not until 1805 that Sertürner isolated this principle in pure crystalline form and determined that it constitutes about 10 per cent of opium. This substance was named morphine and its isolation marks the beginning of alkaloid chemistry. The chemical investigations on the structural elucidation and modification of this substance are well documented and form a fascinating account of the power of classical organic chemistry to unravel the structural complexities associated with this substance. In addition, a tremendous effort was made to dissect this molecule to separate the useful analgetic effects from the undesirable addiction liability.

In Figure 1 are shown the structures of morphine (I) and two of its congeners which are also present in opium. Heroin (III) is more strongly addicting than morphine while codeine (II) is very much less so than the parent compound. Nevertheless, all three drugs cause a marked respiratory depressant effect. Morphine consists of a complex five-ring system, with certain peripheral groups, which is subject to attack at many points. Also one can see within its structure any one of several basic ring systems which may be responsible for its physiological properties. The extensive work of Small and coworkers led to the following general conclusions: (i) etherification of the phenolic hydroxy group increases the convulsant action and decreases the analgetic activity by one tenth; (ii) saturation of the Δ.
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double bond causes a slight increase in analgetic effect; (iii) modification of the 6-secondary hydroxyl group (ketonization or full saturation) increases toxicity, convulsant action and analgetic activity; (iv) opening the ether bridge diminishes activity. Additional studies also revealed that the N-methyl group was not absolutely essential for analgetic effects. In fact, replacement of methyl with the allyl group gave rise to nalorphine which is a powerful antagonist of morphine-like analgetics.
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During 1967 a series of excellent reports have appeared from the laboratory of Professor K. W. Bentley\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\) on the Diels–Alder addition of certain \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated ketones to thebaine (IV). For example, the primary adduct of thebaine and methyl vinyl ketone consists almost entirely of the 7-\(\alpha\)-ketone derivative (see Figure 2).

The most interesting group of substances in the 6,14-endoetheno-tetrahydrothebaine series (generically referred to as the oripavine analogues) is of the general structure (VIa). Bentley et al. have noted that if one keeps \(R\) and \(R''\) constant, increasing \(R'\) from \(H\) through \(\text{CH}_3\) and \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\) to \(\text{C}_3\text{H}_7\) leads to a progressive increase in analgetic activity. The most potent analgetics were found in the phenolic series. A reduction in the 6,14-etheno bridge had little effect on activity. Bentley also observed that a reversal of the stereochemistry at \(C_{19}\) quaternary carbon in the side chain no longer influenced the variation of \(R'\) relative to analgetic activity. Thus, in this series Bentley was able to prepare one compound \(R = H, R'' = \text{methyl}\) and \(R' = \text{n-propyl}\) which was 10,000 times more potent than morphine when tested in experimental animals. However, this and related substances
in this series were strongly addicting and thus have not had clinical application.

The synthesis of metamorphinan, an interesting variation of the morphinan molecule, was achieved by Gates and Klein as shown in Figure 3.

The reduction of thebaine by hydrogen over palladium afforded metathebainone (VII) which was converted in turn with sodium amalgam to dihydrometathebainone. After establishing the stereochemical relationship between rings B and C by chemical and spectral methods, Gates and Klein further reduced 8 to 9 by means of a Wolff-Kishner reaction.

The phenolic hydroxyl group was removed and the resulting 3-methoxy-N-methylmetamorphinan was transformed to the corresponding 3-hydroxy-N-methylmetamorphinan (XI). This substance was found to be inactive as an analgetic. The 3-hydroxy-N-cyclopropylmethylmetamorphinan was also prepared according to well established methods. The narcotic antagonist activity of this substance was virtually nil. The lack of activity of both of these compounds lends confirmation to the hypothesis of Braenden, Eddy and Halbach that a phenyl group or group isosteric with phenyl linked directly to a quaternary carbon is a necessary structural feature of all potent analgetics.

The recent work of Sargent and Joshi on the synthesis of a new position isomer of dihydromorphinone further demonstrates how critical the relative points of linkage of the ethanamine system are in respect to analgetic activity.

The synthesis as outlined in Figure 4 uses dihydrocodeinone as a starting material. The whole rationale, as herein illustrated, is to break the carbon-nitrogen bond at C9 according to a Hofmann degradation and then to create
a new carbon–nitrogen bond at C₇ through the intermediacy of a readily replaceable active group. To this end, the α-bromoketone (XIV) was prepared. Base-induced intramolecular cyclization of this properly constituted α-haloamine yielded XV. Hydrogenolysis of the bromine, pyrolysis of the quaternary salt and conversion to the phenolic compound afforded the desired dihydromorphinone (XVI). In mice this substance elicited roughly one-third the analgetic activity of codeine.
Indeed the dissection of the morphine molecule has afforded a variety of compounds with a wide spectrum of analgetic activity and also deleterious side effects. However, one group of compounds obtained within the past decade has offered considerable hope that the so-called 'ideal' analgetic may be obtained therein. As illustrated in Figure 5, the construction of a tricyclic system incorporating rings A, B and E of morphine gives rise to the 6,7-benzomorphans (XVII). This ring system was originally synthesized in ten steps by Barltrop in 1947. However, the compound in this class which most closely approximates the morphine ring system structurally and stereochemically is α-2,5,9-trimethyl-2'-hydroxy-7,7-benzomorphan (XXII). May and associates reported the synthesis of this compound in 1959 and his method as outlined in Figure 6 has been widely used in the preparation of derivatives of this substance. That the predominant product was the α-form was shown by methiodide reaction rate data. Quaternization of the α-compounds with methyl iodide occurred from five to ten times as
rapidly as the β-counterparts. This could only mean that in the α-compounds
the 9-methyl group is oriented away from the nitrogen of the iminoethano
system. This then results in a cis diaxial fusion at the 5,9-positions such as
is the case in morphine and the morphinans.

Shortly thereafter Sydney Archer16 at Sterling–Winthrop developed an
excellent working hypothesis for the further exploration of derivatives of
this substance. His attack on the problem was both novel and daring. His
argument was as follows (see Figure 7).
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It became clear, that, in order to achieve the desired separation of analgetic potency from addiction liability, the classical approach to the problem had to be abandoned. This notion was strongly supported by the highly important but generally neglected case of nalorphine (XXIII). Not only is this drug negative in the usual analgetic assays, but it actually antagonizes the effects of morphine and its congeners. Yet Keats and Telford\textsuperscript{17} were able to confirm the observations of Lasagna and Beecher\textsuperscript{18} that this drug was a potent analgetic in man, approximately equivalent in milligram-potency to morphine. Isbell\textsuperscript{19} was unable to produce addiction with this drug. Thus a strong analgetic, free of addiction liability, was finally at hand. The high incidence of side effects, particularly of a psychotomimetic nature, precluded the use of this drug as a substitute for morphine. In pursuing this line of attack, Keats studied a varied and heterogeneous collection of analgetic antagonists for their analgetic effects in man. For one reason or another, none was considered to be an acceptable drug.

Replacement of the methyl group of morphine by an allyl radical furnishes nalorphine (XXIII), a potent narcotic antagonist. A similar manipulation in the morphinan series gives levallorphan (XXIV), a more potent narcotic antagonist. However, N-allylnormeperidine (XXV) is an analgetic, not an antagonist.

The pharmacological properties of these drugs may be correlated with any of the following structural features: (a) the number of rings in the molecule—(XXIII) is pentacyclic, (XXIV) is tetracyclic, while (XXV) is only bicyclic; (b) the presence of a phenethylamine fragment in (XXIII) and (XXIV) which is not present in (XXV); (c) the non-planarity of XXIII and XXIV as compared with XXV. The synthesis and biological evaluation of a tricyclic derivative, such as a benzomorphan would be of
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interest because, if such a drug were inactive as an antagonist, then the important structural feature correlating with biological activity would be the number of rings in the molecule. Another reason for studying the benzomorphan series was the high clinical interest shown in phenazocine at the inception of this work.

It was Archer's aim to prepare a series of analgetic antagonists of varying potency by suitable substitution on a benzomorphan nucleus such as XXII. If activity were found, then, on the basis of appropriate pharmacological and toxicological studies in animals, a few members of the series of differing biological profiles would be selected for analgetic assay in man. A major pharmacological requirement was that all the putative drugs must be negative in the D'Amour-Smith test.
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The synthesis of XXII (R = CH$_3$), the cis or α-isomer, was previously reported by May and his associates and Archer's task consisted of preparing the requisite derivatives by either alkylation with the appropriate halide such as dimethylallyl bromide to furnish pentazocine (XXVI) or by acylation with an acid halide such as cyclopropylcarbonyl chloride followed by lithium aluminium hydride reduction to give the desired (XXVII). From this work has resulted pentazocine which is an effective narcotic antagonist. In exhaustive clinical tests it has been shown to be devoid of addiction liability. Nevertheless, it has proven to be as potent as morphine in alleviating severe pain. Thus, it is the first really potent non-addicting analgetic$^{20}$. It is active by the intravenous and oral routes and causes some respiratory depression.

Recently, Clarke and coworkers$^{21}$ at Geigy have prepared 6,7-benzomorphans with a phenyl substituent at the 5 position. The synthesis of this compound from 3-methyl-4-phenylpyridine methiodide (XXVIII) follows essentially the same route described by May (see Figure 9). However, the ring closure reaction to afford the tricyclic system is reported to go in higher yield than the corresponding May intermediate. The reason for this is suggested to be that the carbonium ion generated prior to ring closure is stabilized by the phenyl group. Predominantly the β-form (XXXI) is obtained in this cyclization.
Compounds XXXII and XXXIII have both been clinically evaluated and both are reported to be orally effective. The $N$-carboxamide (XXXII) is equal to codeine in its analgetic action but is not addicting. Compound XXXIII is a potent narcotic antagonist; its analgetic activity is considered to be 5 times that of morphine. Although it is devoid of addiction liability, this compound has been found to cause respiratory depression. Two other syntheses of 5-phenyl-6,7-benzomorphans have been reported. In Figure 10

![Chemical structures](image)

is outlined a second synthesis of this ring system by Clarke and his associates and in Figure 11 the method devised by Schenker and Walker from CIBA is shown. The Schenker–Walker synthesis is unique in that it permits the preparation of 5,6, and 7-membered E rings.

Early this year May reported the synthesis of 6,7-benzomorphan, the parent structure of this class of compounds, from 2-carbethoxy-4-phenyl pyridine (XXXIX). The key intermediate in this synthesis is 2-carboxy-1-methyl-4-phenyl piperidine (XL) which is allowed to undergo an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts type cyclization to generate 2-methyl-8-oxo-6,7-benzomorphan (XLI). Wolff–Kishner reduction of the ketone yielded the 2-methyl-6,7-benzomorphan (XLII).

An interesting modification of the benzomorphan nucleus has been
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Figure 10

Figure 11
reported by Kametani and coworkers\textsuperscript{27}. They prepared 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6H-1,5(e)(1,4)-diazocine (XLVI) and 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-2,6-methano-benzo(e)(1,4)-diazocine (L). The synthesis of each of these heterocyclic ring systems is shown in Figures 13 and 14. In each of these cases a nitrogen atom has been substituted in the ring system in place of the quaternary carbon atom at position 5. As yet reports on the analgetic activity of these azabenzomorphans have not been forthcoming.

Before closing the discussion on narcotic antagonists, it is most essential to say a few words on Naloxone (LI) (see Figure 15).

Pharmacological studies would indicate that this compound represents the most nearly pure antagonist of the compounds yet tested. It is practically
devoid of analgetic activity in animals. The compound only rarely produces psychotomimetic effects and unlike nalorphine and cyclazocine shows few withdrawal signs after abrupt cessation of chronic medication. The ability of naloxone to relieve pain in man is still under study.

PIPERIDINE DERIVATIVES

One of the heterocyclic systems which has received tremendous attention in analgetic research in the past 30 years has been the piperidine ring system. It has been stated that since Eisleb and Schaumann reported on the potent analgetic meperidine (LII) in 1939, over 4000 derivatives of this group of piperidines have been prepared and evaluated for their analgetic properties. Although many of these substances have shown analgetic effects, for the most part it really has not been possible to obtain a compound devoid of addiction liability and respiratory depression. For example, Cavalla et al. at Parke, Davis and Co. have prepared a large number of pyrrolidine derivatives related to meperidine. The compound of choice was found to be prodilidene (LIII) (see Figure 16). Clinical trials indicated that
the effective dose was 50–100 mg every 4 hours showing an activity equivalent to codeine. Recently the pharmacological properties of the prodilidene analogue (LIV) were reported. The analgetic effect of this compound in experimental animals was found to be ten times greater than prodilidene, thus putting it in the same class with morphine. However, its effect in animals was antagonized by nalorphine.

An unusual and unexpected result has been brought forth by Kugita and coworkers by the method outlined in Figure 17. They prepared a series of compounds and observed the following reactions:
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meta-aryl substituted piperidines which exhibited excellent analgetic properti-
es. The N-methyl derivative (LIX) was found to be as potent as morphine,
but contrary to expectations, the N-allyl derivative (LX) was devoid of
analgetic action and proved to be a narcotic antagonist. This antagonist
effect was considered by Archer and Harris to be due to the phenethylamine
fragment of the molecule34.

TETRAHYDROISOQUINOLINES

Three variations of 1-(4-chlorophenethyl)2-methyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-
tetrahydroisoquinolines (methopholine; LXI), first prepared by Brossi35,
have been synthesized for analgetic evaluation. These are outlined in
Figure 18. Compound LXII was prepared by Gootjes and coworkers36,

![Diagram](LXI)(LXII)(LXIII)(LXIV)

compound LXIII by Jirkovsky and Protiva37 and the Brossi group38
prepared compound LXIV. None of these substances proved to be superior
to methopholine as an analgetic.

QUINAZOLINES

Within the past two years the quinazolines have also been a source for
some new analgetics (see Figure 19). Within the CIBA Laboratories, Blatter
has prepared some 4-amino substituted compounds and their analgetic
effects have been reported by Chernov, Blatter and coworkers39. The most
effective compound was 4-(2-dimethylaminoethylamino)quinazoline (Su-
13026) (LXV). This compound showed analgetic properties virtually
equivalent to codeine in experimental animals; however, after extensive
clinical trials, it proved to be a rather weak pain relieving substance.

Okumura and associates40 recently reported their work on the synthesis
and pharmacological properties of the 1-acyl derivatives 2-methyl-3-
phenyl-4-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinazolines. Substance LXVI appeared to
be the most active compound. However, its potency was found to be similar
to that of aminopyrine and it had a shorter duration of action. No clinical
data have been available on this compound.
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Within this category may be considered the antagonist (LXVII) reported by Carabateas and Harris. Although this compound is not as potent as nalorphine in antagonizing the effects of morphine, it nevertheless represents a new class of narcotic antagonist and may offer compounds of interest in the future.

**CARBOXYLIC ACID DERIVATIVES**

The synthesis and other chemical aspects of the substances discussed in this section are well worked out and will not be considered. The structures under discussion are presented in Figures 20–22.

The clinical significance of salicylic acid and derivatives for the treatment of mild to moderate pain has been appreciated for well over a century. In particular, o-acetylsalicyclic acid is a drug which has enjoyed the greatest success for the longest period of time in the history of medicinal chemistry. Generally known as aspirin, the pharmaceutical industry in the United States alone now manufactures over 30 million pounds of this drug per year.

Within recent years a variety of aromatic organic acids have been reported to elicit analgetic effects. Scherrer, Winder and Short have given data to show that mefenamic acid (LXVIII) and Cl-583 (LXIX) not only are anti-inflammatory agents but also are analgetics more active than aspirin. Juby and Hudyma have also prepared 4-anilinopyrimidine-5-carboxylic acids (LXX) and Evans et al. have reported on the 2-anilinopyridine-3-carboxylic acids (LXXI). These compounds have analgetic effects similar to the mefenamic acid group.

Compound LXXII (namoxyrate) has been reported by Corgill et al. and by Emele and Shanaman to be a moderately potent clinically effective well tolerated non-narcotic analgetic equipotent to codeine. Clinical trials are still in progress with this substance.
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Indomethacin (LXXIII), a new potent anti-inflammatory agent, has also been reported by Winter\textsuperscript{47} to be about 10 times more potent than aspirin as an analgetic.

Other acetic acid derivatives which have been described in the literature to have analgetic properties are compounds (LXXIV)\textsuperscript{48}, (LXXV)\textsuperscript{49}, (LXXVI)\textsuperscript{50} and (LXXVII)\textsuperscript{51}. No clinical data are at present available on these substances.

**MISCELLANEOUS**

Finally, within the miscellaneous class diverse structures have been cited to be analgetically effective in experimental animals. The structures of these compounds are shown in Figure 23. No significant clinical data are as yet available.

In conclusion, I would like to emphasize that the degree of commitment to analgetic research in the past three years has been as great as in any comparable time before 1965. Some new chemistry and chemical structures with unexpected analgetic properties have evolved from this work. In some cases it is too early to predict the eventual importance of some of these substances in clinical practice. The narcotic antagonists offer for the first time substances devoid of addiction liability; however, other morphine side effects have not been completely eliminated. A potentially exciting area is the aromatic and heterocyclic acids group. Although, as pointed out by Dr. Winter, the analgetic effect of some of these substances is peripheral, the opportunity of finding an outstanding analgetic amongst this chemical class is very great provided some of the alarming side effects reported can be eliminated. And finally, with so much new chemistry being generated throughout the many laboratories engaged in pharmaceutical research, the hope always remains that a completely new chemical substance will emerge with outstanding analgetic effects.
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